arXiv:1404.5048v1 [math.NT] 20 Apr 2014

Congruence identities of regularized multiple zeta values involving a pair of index sets

MACHIDE, Tomoya* †

Abstract

Riemann zeta values are generalized to multiple zeta values (MZVs) by use of nested sums, and MZVs are generalized to regularized multiple zeta values (RMZVs) by regularization of divergent infinite series. In the present paper, we prove congruence identities of RMZVs of depth n involving a pair of index sets; the congruence relation is given by the vector space spanned by MZVs of depth $n-1$ and products of MZVs. We also obtain a proof of the parity result, and a congruence sum formula for MZVs.

1 Introduction and statement of results

The special values of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}1/m^s$ at integer arguments have attracted many mathematicians including Euler, who solved the Basel problem, $\zeta(2)=\pi^2/6$. These values play an important role in number theory, and have applications in many areas [12]. A multiple zeta value (MZV)

$$\zeta(l_1,\dots,l_n)=\sum_{m_1>\cdots>m_n>0}\frac{1}{m_1^{l_1}\cdots m_n^{l_n}}$$

is a generalization of such a special value, and defined for an (ordered) index set $(l_1,\dots,l_n)$ of positive integers with $l_1\geq 2$. The condition $l_1\geq 2$ ensures the convergence. We call $l=l_1+\cdots+l_n$ and n the weight and depth, respectively. MZVs were first studied by Euler [5] in the case of depth 2.

Let $\zeta^*(l_1,\dots,l_n)$ and $\zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1,\dots,l_n)$ be regularized multiple zeta values (RMZVs) of harmonic and shuffle types, which are defined in [7] as generalizations of MZVs. (The details of these values will be introduced in Section 2.1.) Both types of RMZVs are MZVs if $l_1\geq 2$, but they are also defined for index sets $(l_1,\dots,l_n)$ with $l_1=1$, unlike MZVs. We know from [7, (2,3)] that the difference of $\zeta^*(l_1,\dots,l_n)$ and $\zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1,\dots,l_n)$ can be expressed as a linear combination in the vector space $\mathcal{P}_l$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, which is spanned by products of MZVs with total weight l, where the products include the single zeta value $\zeta(l)$. That is, $\zeta^*(l_1,\dots,l_n)\equiv\zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1,\dots,l_n) \bmod \mathcal{P}_l$ (see (2.8) in Section 2.1), and so we may use the same symbol $\zeta^\ddagger$ for both ζ^* and $\zeta^{\text{ш}}$ when we consider a congruence relation modulo a vector space including $\mathcal{P}_l$.

MZVs satisfy an interesting property called the parity result, which was proved in [7, 11] for general depth n independently (see [1, 5, 10] for depth 2 and [2] for depth 3), and plays an important role in the study of the depth-graded algebra of MZVs [3, 7]. The parity result states a reducibility of MZVs when the weight and depth have opposite parity. Since every

*National Institute of Informatics, 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8430, Japan
†JST, ERATO, Kawarabayashi Large Graph Project, c/o Global Research Center for Big Data Mathematics, NII, 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8430, Japan
e-mail : machide@nii.ac.jp

RMZV is written in terms of MZVs of depth n and products of MZVs with total weight l (see (2.9) in Section 2.1), RMZVs also satisfy the parity result. Thus,

$$\zeta^{\ddagger}(l_1,\dots,l_n) \equiv 0 \qquad \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)} + \mathcal{P}_l \qquad (l+n \text{ is odd}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)}$ is the vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by MZVs of weight l and depth less than n.

For an index set $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)$, we define its reverse $\mathbf{l}^{\vee} = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)^{\vee}$ by

$$(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)^{\vee} := (l_n, l_{n-1}, \dots, l_1).$$

In the proof of (1.1), Ihara, Kaneko, and Zagier [7] showed the following congruence identities of RMZVs involving the pair $(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}^{\vee})$ of index sets:

$$\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{l}) \equiv (-1)^{l-1}\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{l}^{\vee}) \qquad \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)} + \mathcal{P}_l, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{l}) \equiv (-1)^{n-1}\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{l}^{\vee}) \qquad \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)} + \mathcal{P}_l. \tag{1.3}$$

Identity (1.2) does not explicitly appear in [7], but it is easily proved because (1.1) and (1.3) give (1.2) and (1.3), and vice versa. Identity (1.3) is given in [7, (8.6)].

In the present paper, we improve (1.2) by reducing the vector space giving the congruence relation $\equiv$ from $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)} + \mathcal{P}_l$ to its subspace, and prove two congruence identities of RMZVs involving a pair of index sets modulo the subspace. The subspace is given by $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)} + \mathcal{P}_l$, where $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(d)}$ is the vector space spanned by MZVs of weight l and depth d. Note that $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)} \subset \mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)} = \sum_{d=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{Z}_l^{(d)}$.

Our results are stated as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *Identity (1.2) holds even if the vector space $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)} + \mathcal{P}_l$ is changed to the subspace $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)} + \mathcal{P}_l$. In particular, we obtain another proof of the parity result (1.1).*

THEOREM 1.2. *We define two index sets of positive integers by $\mathbf{k}_i = (l_1, \dots, l_{i-1})$ and $\mathbf{l}_i = (l_{i+1}, \dots, l_n)$ for an integer i with $1 \le i \le n$. Then we have*

$$\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{k}_i, \overset{i\,th}{1}, \mathbf{l}_i) \equiv \zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{l}_i, \overset{j\,th}{1}, \mathbf{k}_i) \qquad \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)} + \mathcal{P}_l, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{k}_i, \overset{i\,th}{1}, \mathbf{l}_i) \equiv (-1)^{l-1}\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{k}_i^{\vee}, \overset{i\,th}{1}, \mathbf{l}_i^{\vee}) \qquad \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)} + \mathcal{P}_l, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\mathbf{k}_1$ and $\mathbf{l}_n$ mean the empty set, and $j = n + 1 - i$.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.2, we can obtain the following congruence sum formula for MZVs with the help of the restricted sum formula [4] and Ohno's relations [8].

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let l, m, n be integers with $l \ge m + n$ and $m, n \ge 1$. If weight l is even,*

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1 \ge m+1, l_2, \dots, l_n \ge 1 \\ (l_1 + \cdots + l_n = l)}} \zeta(l_1, \dots, l_n) \equiv 0 \qquad \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(d+1)} + \mathcal{P}_l, \tag{1.6}$$

where $d \in \{m, n\}$. In particular, the left-hand side of (1.6) is congruent to 0 modulo $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)} + \mathcal{P}_l$ under the additional condition $m + 1 < n$.

The present paper is organized as follows. We devote Section 2 to preliminaries; we review the algebraic setup of RMZVs and some of their properties by referring to [6, 7] in Section 2.1, and we show key identities in $\mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ by using results of [7] in Section 2.2, where $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is the general linear group of degree n over $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ is its group ring. In Section 3, we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.3, and give examples of Theorem 1.2 for smaller weight.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 RMZVs of harmonic and shuffle types

Let $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{Q}\langle x, y\rangle$ be the non-commutative polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ in two indeterminates x and y, and $\mathfrak{H}^0$ and $\mathfrak{H}^1$ its subalgebras $\mathbb{Q} + x\mathfrak{H}y$ and $\mathbb{Q} + \mathfrak{H}y$, respectively. These satisfy the inclusion relations $\mathfrak{H}^0 \subset \mathfrak{H}^1 \subset \mathfrak{H}$. Let z_l denote $x^{l-1}y$ for any integer $l \geq 1$. Every word $w = w_0 y$ in the set $\{x, y\}$ with terminal letter y is expressed as $w = z_{l_1} \cdots z_{l_n}$ uniquely, and so $\mathfrak{H}^1$ is the free algebra generated by z_l $(l = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$. We define the harmonic product $*$ on $\mathfrak{H}^1$ inductively by

$$1 * w = w * 1 = w, \tag{2.1}$$

$$z_k w_1 * z_l w_2 = z_k(w_1 * z_l w_2) + z_l(z_k w_1 * w_2) + z_{k+l}(w_1 * w_2), \tag{2.2}$$

for any integers $k, l \geq 1$ and words $w, w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}^1$, and then extending by $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinearity. This product gives $\mathfrak{H}^0$ and $\mathfrak{H}^1$ structures of commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras [6], which we denote by $\mathfrak{H}^0_*$ and $\mathfrak{H}^1_*$, respectively; note that obviously $\mathfrak{H}^0_*$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{H}^1_*$. We also define the shuffle product ш on $\mathfrak{H}^1$ inductively by

$$1 \text{ ш } u = u \text{ ш } 1 = u, \tag{2.3}$$

$$uw_1 \text{ ш } vw_2 = u(w_1 \text{ ш } vw_2) + v(uw_1 \text{ ш } w_2), \tag{2.4}$$

for any words $w, w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}^1$ and $u, v \in \{x, y\}$, and again extending by $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinearity. This product gives $\mathfrak{H}^0$ and $\mathfrak{H}^1$ the structures of commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras [9], which we denote by $\mathfrak{H}^0_{\text{ш}}$ and $\mathfrak{H}^1_{\text{ш}}$, respectively; $\mathfrak{H}^0_{\text{ш}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{H}^1_{\text{ш}}$.

Let Z be the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map (called the evaluation map) from $\mathfrak{H}^0$ to $\mathbb{R}$ given by

$$Z(z_{l_1} \cdots z_{l_n}) = \zeta(l_1, \ldots, l_n) \qquad (z_{l_1} \cdots z_{l_n} \in \mathfrak{H}^0).$$

We know from [6, 9] that Z is homomorphic on both operations $*$ and ш, or satisfies

$$Z(w_1 * w_2) = Z(w_1 \text{ ш } w_2) = Z(w_1)Z(w_2)$$

for $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}^0$. Note that the weight and depth of $\zeta(\mathbf{l}_n) = \zeta(l_1, \ldots, l_n)$ correspond to the degree of $z_{l_1} \cdots z_{l_n}$ and the degree of $z_{l_1} \cdots z_{l_n}$ with respect to y, respectively, where we assign x and y degree 1.

Let $\mathbb{R}[T]$ be the polynomial ring in a single indeterminate with real coefficients. Through the isomorphisms $\mathfrak{H}^1_* \simeq \mathfrak{H}^0_*[y]$ and $\mathfrak{H}^1_{\text{ш}} \simeq \mathfrak{H}^0_{\text{ш}}[y]$ proved in [6] and [9], Ihara *et al.* [7, Proposition 1] considered the algebra homomorphisms $Z^* : \mathfrak{H}^1_* \to \mathbb{R}[T]$ and $Z^{\text{ш}} : \mathfrak{H}^1_{\text{ш}} \to \mathbb{R}[T]$, respectively, which are uniquely characterized by the properties that it extends the evaluation map Z and sends y to T. For any word $w = z_{l_1} \cdots z_{l_n} \in \mathfrak{H}^1$, we denote by $Z^*_{\mathbf{l}_n}(T)$ and $Z^{\text{ш}}_{\mathbf{l}_n}(T)$ the images under the maps Z^* and $Z^{\text{ш}}$ of the word w, and then RMZVs $\zeta^*(\mathbf{l}_n)$ and $\zeta^{\text{ш}}(\mathbf{l}_n)$ of harmonic and shuffle types are defined by

$$\zeta^*(l_1, \ldots, l_n) = Z^*_{l_1,\ldots,l_n}(0) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1, \ldots, l_n) = Z^{\text{ш}}_{l_1,\ldots,l_n}(0), \tag{2.5}$$

respectively. For example, we see from (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} Z^*_1(T) &= Z^*(y) = T, \\ Z^{\text{ш}}_1(T) &= Z^{\text{ш}}(y) = T, \\ Z^*_{1,1}(T) &= Z^*\Big(\frac{1}{2}z_1 * z_1 - \frac{1}{2}z_2\Big) = \frac{1}{2}T^2 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

$$Z^{\text{ш}}_{1,1}(T) = Z^{\text{ш}}\Big(\frac{1}{2}y \,\text{ш}\, y\Big) = \frac{1}{2}T^2,$$

and so we have

$$\zeta^*(1) = \zeta^{\text{ш}}(1) = \zeta^{\text{ш}}(1,1) = 0, \qquad \zeta^*(1,1) = -\frac{1}{2}\zeta(2). \tag{2.7}$$

We can derive the following properties for RMZVs from results proved in [7].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $l_1, \dots, l_n, l$ be positive integers with $l_1 + \cdots + l_n = l$. We have*

$$\zeta^*(l_1, \dots, l_n) \equiv \zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1, \dots, l_n) \qquad \mod \mathcal{P}_l, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\zeta^*(l_1, \dots, l_n) \equiv \zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1, \dots, l_n) \equiv 0 \qquad \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(n)} + \mathcal{P}_l. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}[T] \to \mathbb{R}[T]$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-linear map which is determined by

$$\frac{\rho(T^j)}{j!} = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \gamma_i \frac{T^{j-i}}{(j-i)!} \qquad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,) \tag{2.10}$$

and the $\mathbb{R}$-linearity, where the coefficients $\gamma_0 = 1$, $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\gamma_2 = \zeta(2)/2$, $\gamma_3 = -\zeta(3)/3$, $\gamma_4 = (2\zeta(4) + \zeta(2)^2)/8$, $\dots$ are given by the generating function

$$\exp\Big(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \zeta(m)}{m} u^m\Big) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma_i u^i.$$

When $i \geq 2$, we easily see that the coefficient γ_i can be written in terms of products of values $\zeta(m)$ with total weight i, which yields the congruence equation

$$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_d)\gamma_i \equiv 0 \qquad \mod \mathcal{P}_l, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_d)$ is an MZV of weight $l - i$. Ihara *et al.* pointed out in [7, Section 2] that the coefficient c_j^* of T^j in $Z^*_{\mathbf{l}_n}(T)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of MZVs of weight $l - j$, that is,

$$c_j^* \in \mathcal{Z}_{l-j}, \tag{2.12}$$

and also showed in [7, Theorem 1] that

$$\rho(Z^*_{l_1,\dots,l_n}(T)) = Z^{\text{ш}}_{l_1,\dots,l_n}(T). \tag{2.13}$$

Therefore, because of (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12), substituting $T = 0$ into (2.13) gives (2.8).

Let $\mathrm{reg}^T_{\text{ш}} : \mathfrak{H}^1_{\text{ш}} \to \mathfrak{H}^0_{\text{ш}}[T]$ be the isomorphism which is uniquely characterized by the properties that it is the identity on $\mathfrak{H}^0_{\text{ш}}$ and maps y to T. Note that $Z^{\text{ш}} = Z \otimes id_{\mathbb{Q}[T]} \circ \mathrm{reg}^T_{\text{ш}}$ under the identification $\mathfrak{H}^0_{\text{ш}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[T] = \mathfrak{H}^0_{\text{ш}}[T]$ (see [7, Section 3]). Let $w = z_{l_1} \dots z_{l_n}$ be a word in $\mathfrak{H}^1$. By the definitions of $\mathfrak{H}^0$ and $\mathfrak{H}^1$, there exist an integer $m \geq 0$ and a word $w_0 = xw_0' \in \mathfrak{H}^0$ such that $w = y^m w_0$. Then Ihara *et al.* [7, Proposition 8] showed that

$$\mathrm{reg}_{\text{ш}}(w) = (-1)^m x(y \,\text{ш}\, w_0'),$$

where $\mathrm{reg}_{\text{ш}}$ is the homomorphism obtained by specializing $\mathrm{reg}^T_{\text{ш}}$ to $T = 0$. By applying the map Z to both sides of this equation, we obtain

$$\zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1, \dots, l_n) = (-1)^m Z(x(y^m \,\text{ш}\, w_0')). \tag{2.14}$$

For each word v appearing in the expansion of $x(y^m \text{ ш } w_0')$, the degrees of v and $xy^m w_0'$ (resp. the degrees of v and $xy^m w_0'$ with respect to y) are same by the definition (2.4) of the operation ш. The degrees of $xy^m w_0'$ and $y^m x w_0'$ (resp. the degrees of $xy^m w_0'$ and $y^m x w_0'$ with respect to y) are also same. Since $w = y^m x w_0'$ and since the degree of w and the degree of w with respect to y are $l = l_1 + \cdots + l_n$ and n, respectively, the image of $x(y^m \text{ ш } w_0')$ under Z is expressed in terms of MZVs of weight l and depth n, i.e.,

$$Z(x(y^m \text{ ш } w_0')) \equiv 0 \qquad \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(n)}. \tag{2.15}$$

Equating (2.14) and (2.15), we obtain

$$\zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1, \ldots, l_n) = 0 \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(n)},$$

which together with (2.8) proves (2.9). □

2.2 Key identities in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$

We begin by reviewing some notation about the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ introduced in [7, Section 8]. Let S_n be the symmetric group of degree n and $e = e^{(n)}$ be its unit element. We identify the elements of S_n with the permutation matrices in $\mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ in the usual way, that is, $\sigma \in S_n$ is identified with $(\delta_{i\sigma(j)})_{1\le i,j\le n} \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. We define the elements $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{(n)}$ and $T_j = T_j^{(n)} (j = 0, \ldots, n-1)$ of order 2 by

$$\varepsilon^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T_j^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ldots & j & j+1 & \ldots & n \\ 1 & \ldots & j & n & \ldots & j+1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.16}$$

respectively. We set $T = T_0^{(n)}$ particularly, which is identified with an anti-diagonal matrix,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \iddots & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by $P = P^{(n)}$ the matrix given by

$$P^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \left(P^{(n)}\right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.17}$$

For each integer j with $1 \le j \le n-1$, we define the j-th shuffle element $sh_j = sh_j^{(n)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ by

$$sh_j^{(n)} := \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n \\ \left(\substack{\sigma(1)<\cdots<\sigma(j) \\ \sigma(j+1)<\ldots<\sigma(n)}\right)}} \sigma. \tag{2.18}$$

The key identities are as follows.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *We have*

$$e + (-1)^n T = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j-1} sh_j T_j, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e - \varepsilon TPTP^{-1} = {} & sh_1 \left(e + (-1)^n \varepsilon PTP^{-1}TPTP^{-1}\right) \\ & -(-1)^n \varepsilon P \left(e + (-1)^n T\right) P^{-1} sh_1 PTP^{-1}TPTP^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Identity (2.19) was proved in [7, Lemma 2]. Identity (2.20) was not proved in [7], but we will show that it can be derived from the identity [7, (8.5)] in $\mathbb{Z}[S_{n+1}]$,

$$e^{(n+1)} + sh_1^{(n)} C_{n+1} = C_{n+1}(e^{(n+1)} + sh_1^{(n)} \tau), \tag{2.21}$$

where $\tau = \tau_{n+1}$ is the transposition $(1(1+n))$, C_{n+1} is the cyclic permutation of order $n+1$ defined by

$$C_{n+1} := (12\dots(n+1)) = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c} 0 & & & & & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & \end{array}\right) \in S_{n+1} \subset GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}), \tag{2.22}$$

and $sh_1^{(n)} \in S_n$ is embedded into S_{n+1} in a natural way, that is, a permutation $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of S_n is identified with the permutation $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \dots & n & n+1 \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n & n+1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of S_{n+1} which fixes $n+1$.

To prove (2.20), we prepare notation for right actions of $\mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[S_{n+1}]$ on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ in n variables with real coefficients by referring to [7, Section 8]. The action of $S = \sum a_j S_j \in \mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ on a polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is defined by

$$(f|S)(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum a_j f((x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot S_j^{-1}). \tag{2.23}$$

Note that $(f|\sigma)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ if $\sigma \in S_n$, and that this is a right action, i.e., $f|(RS) = (f|R)|S$ for all elements $R, S \in \mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$. The action of $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ on $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is defined through the isomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \simeq \mathbb{R}[y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}]/(y_1 + \dots + y_n + y_{n+1}) \tag{2.24}$$

given by

$$\varphi(x_i) = y_i \quad (1 \le i \le n).$$

For example, the action $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ on $p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is

$$(p_1|\sigma)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} & (1 \le \sigma^{-1}(1) \le n), \\ -(x_1 + \dots + x_n) & (\sigma^{-1}(1) = n+1). \end{cases}$$

We now prove (2.20).

Proof of identity (2.20). By the definition of the action of S_{n+1},

$$(p|\tau)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(-(x_1 + \dots + x_n), x_2, \dots, x_n),$$
$$(p|C_{n+1})(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(-(x_1 + \dots + x_n), x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}),$$

where $p(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$. Since the map φ is isomorphic, there exist matrices $\tau^{(n)}$ and $C_{n+1}^{(n)}$ in $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ identified with τ and C_{n+1} in S_{n+1}, which are given by

$$\tau^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ -1 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad C_{n+1}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & -1 \\ 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & \ddots & 0 & -1 \\ & & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.25}$$

respectively. Note that the inverse matrices of $\tau^{(n)}$ and $C_{n+1}^{(n)}$ are

$$\left(\tau^{(n)}\right)^{-1} = \tau^{(n)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left(C_{n+1}^{(n)}\right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 1 \\ -1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = TC_{n+1}^{(n)}T. \tag{2.26}$$

Using (2.17) and (2.22), we can show that

$$\tau^{(n)} = \varepsilon C_n P^{-1} TPT, \qquad C_{n+1}^{(n)} = \varepsilon TP^{-1}TP. \tag{2.27}$$

In fact we have by (2.17)

$$P^{-1}TPT = P^{-1}(TPT) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & -1 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & -1 \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We thus see that

$$C_n P^{-1} TPT = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & -1 \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 1 & -1 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 1 & & & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon\tau^{(n)},$$

and

$$TP^{-1}TP = (P^{-1}TPT)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & -1 \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ -1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon C_{n+1}^{(n)}.$$

These equations prove (2.27).

As is clear from the definition (2.18), the 1-th and $(n-1)$-th shuffle elements can be rewritten in terms of cyclic permutations as

$$sh_1^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}(j(j-1)\dots 1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad sh_{n-1}^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}(j(j+1)\dots n), \tag{2.28}$$

respectively. We thus have $sh_1^{(n)}C_n = sh_{n-1}^{(n)}$, and so obtain the following identity in $\mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ by combining (2.21) and (2.27) under the identifications given by (2.24):

$$e + \varepsilon sh_1 TP^{-1}TP = \varepsilon TP^{-1}TP(e + \varepsilon sh_{n-1}P^{-1}TPT). \tag{2.29}$$

Equation (2.29) can be restated by multiplying $\varepsilon P^{-1}TPT$ from the right as

$$e-\varepsilon P^{-1}TPT = sh_1-\varepsilon TP^{-1}TPsh_{n-1}P^{-1}TPTP^{-1}TPT. \tag{2.30}$$

We define involutions i and t of $\mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ by the inversion and transpose of a matrix, respectively, that is, for an element $S=\sum a_jS_j\in\mathbb{Z}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$, we define the involutions as

$$i(S) = \sum a_jS_j^{-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad t(S) = \sum a_j{}^tS_j. \tag{2.31}$$

Note that $i(\sigma)=t(\sigma)$ if $\sigma\in S_n$, in particular, $t\circ i(sh_j)=sh_j$. Since $t(P^{\pm})=TP^{\pm}T$ and $Tsh_{n-1}T=sh_1$, we see that

$$t\circ i(e-\varepsilon P^{-1}TPT)=t(e-\varepsilon TP^{-1}TP) = e-\varepsilon TPTP^{-1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&t\circ i(sh_1-\varepsilon TP^{-1}TPsh_{n-1}P^{-1}TPTP^{-1}TPT)\\
&\quad= sh_1-t(\varepsilon TP^{-1}TPTP^{-1}TPi(sh_{n-1})P^{-1}TPT)\\
&\quad= sh_1-\varepsilon T(TPT)T(TP^{-1}T)sh_{n-1}(TPT)T(TP^{-1}T)T(TPT)T(TP^{-1}T)T\\
&\quad= sh_1-\varepsilon PTP^{-1}sh_1PTP^{-1}TPTP^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by applying the involuation $t\circ i$ to both sides of (2.30), we obtain

$$e-\varepsilon TPTP^{-1} = sh_1-\varepsilon PTP^{-1}sh_1PTP^{-1}TPTP^{-1}. \tag{2.32}$$

The second term of the right-hand side of (2.32) is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon PTP^{-1}sh_1PTP^{-1}TPTP^{-1}\\
&=(-1)^n\varepsilon P\left\{(e+(-1)^nT)-e\right\}P^{-1}sh_1PTP^{-1}TPTP^{-1}\\
&=-(-1)^n\varepsilon sh_1PTP^{-1}TPTP^{-1}+(-1)^n\varepsilon P\left(e+(-1)^nT\right)P^{-1}sh_1PTP^{-1}TPTP^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and so we obtain (2.20). □

3 Proofs

We denote by $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]_{(m)}$ the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree m in n variables with coefficients in a field K of characteristic zero. We can consider $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]_{(m)}$ as the vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$,

$$K[x_1,\dots,x_n]_{(m)} = \bigoplus_{\substack{k_1,\dots,k_n\geq 0\\(k_1+\cdots+k_n=m)}} Kx_1^{k_1}\cdots x_n^{k_n}, \tag{3.1}$$

and so we also denote by $V[x_1,\dots,x_n]_{(m)}$ the vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ given by (3.1) with $K=V$ for any space V.

We define the generating functions $F^*=F_n^*$ and $F^{ш}=F_n^{ш}$ of RMZVs of harmonic and shuffle types by

$$F_n^*(x_1,\dots,x_n) := \sum_{l_1,\dots,l_n\geq 1}\zeta^*(l_1,\dots,l_n)x_1^{l_1-1}\cdots x_n^{l_n-1} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$F_n^{\text{Ш}}(x_1,\dots,x_n) := \sum_{l_1,\dots,l_n\geq 1} \zeta^{\text{Ш}}(l_1,\dots,l_n)x_1^{l_1-1}\cdots x_n^{l_n-1}, \tag{3.3}$$

respectively. Because our interests are RMZVs of weight l, we also define their generating functions $f_l^* = f_{l,n}^*$ and $f_l^{\text{Ш}} = f_{l,n}^{\text{Ш}}$ by

$$f_{l,n}^*(x_1,\dots,x_n) := \sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_n\geq 1\\(l_1+\cdots+l_n=l)}} \zeta^*(l_1,\dots,l_n)x_1^{l_1-1}\cdots x_n^{l_n-1} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$f_{l,n}^{\text{Ш}}(x_1,\dots,x_n) := \sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_n\geq 1\\(l_1+\cdots+l_n=l)}} \zeta^{\text{Ш}}(l_1,\dots,l_n)x_1^{l_1-1}\cdots x_n^{l_n-1}, \tag{3.5}$$

which are the homogeneous parts of degree $l-n$ of F_n^* and $F_n^{\text{Ш}}$, respectively. In a similar fashion to usage of $\zeta^\ddagger$, ζ^*, and $\zeta^{\text{Ш}}$, we use the same symbol $f_l^\ddagger$ for both f_l^* and $f_l^{\text{Ш}}$ when we consider a congruence relation modulo a vector space including $\mathcal{P}_l[x_1,\dots,x_n]_{(l-n)}$. We omit the subscript $(l-n)$ for brevity (e.g., we use $\mathcal{P}_l[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ instead of $\mathcal{P}_l[x_1,\dots,x_n]_{(l-n)}$) when the homogeneous degree in question can be inferred from the weight and depth.

We need a lemma to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

LEMMA 3.1. *We have*

$$f_l^\ddagger|P(e+(-1)^nT) \equiv 0 \mod \mathcal{P}_l[x_1,\dots,x_n], \tag{3.6}$$

$$f_l^\ddagger|(e-\varepsilon TPTP^{-1}) \equiv 0 \mod (\mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)}+\mathcal{P}_l)[x_1,\dots,x_n]. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. We may prove

$$f_l^\ddagger|Psh_j \equiv 0 \mod \mathcal{P}_l[x_1,\dots,x_n] \qquad (1\leq j\leq n-1), \tag{3.8}$$

$$f_l^\ddagger|sh_1 \equiv 0 \mod (\mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)}+\mathcal{P}_l)[x_1,\dots,x_n]. \tag{3.9}$$

In fact, (3.6) follows from (2.19) and (3.8), and (3.7) follows from (2.20), (3.6), and (3.9). (Note that (3.8) and (3.9) are essentially shown in the proofs of the assertions (ii) and (i) in the proof of [7, Theorem 6], respectively.)

We first prove (3.8). Let $Li_{l_1,\dots,l_n}(t)$ be the multiple polylogarithm defined by

$$Li_{l_1,\dots,l_n}(t) := \sum_{m_1>\cdots>m_n>0} \frac{t^{m_1}}{m_1^{l_1}\cdots m_n^{l_n}}.$$

We define $\zeta_\varepsilon(l_1,\dots,l_n) = Li_{l_1,\dots,l_n}(1-\varepsilon)$ and its generating function as

$$F_{n,\varepsilon}^{\text{Ш}}(x_1,\dots,x_n) := \sum_{l_1,\dots,l_n\geq 1} \zeta_\varepsilon(l_1,\dots,l_n)x_1^{l_1-1}\cdots x_n^{l_n-1}.$$

Let $f^\sharp$ denote $f|P^{(n)}$ for a function $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ in n variables. In the proof of [7, Theorem 6], Ihara *et al.* showed that

$$((F_{n,\varepsilon}^{\text{Ш}})^\sharp|sh_j)(x_1,\dots,x_n) = (F_{j,\varepsilon}^{\text{Ш}})^\sharp(x_1,\dots,x_j)(F_{n-j,\varepsilon}^{\text{Ш}})^\sharp(x_{j+1},\dots,x_n). \tag{3.10}$$

Since the value $\zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1,\dots,l_n)$ is equal to the constant term of the asymptotic expansion of the multiple polylogarithm $Li_{l_1,\dots,l_n}(t)$ as $t \nearrow 1$ (see [7, Section 2]), we obtain by comparing the constant terms as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ on both sides of (3.10) that

$$((F_n^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp}|sh_j)(x_1,\dots,x_n) = (F_j^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp}(x_1,\dots,x_j)(F_{n-j}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp}(x_{j+1},\dots,x_n). \tag{3.11}$$

The homogeneous part of degree $l-n$ of the left-hand side of (3.11) is equal to $(f_l^{\ddagger})^{\sharp}|sh_j = f_l^{\ddagger}|Psh_j$, and that of the right-hand side of (3.11) is congruent to 0 modulo $\mathcal{P}_l[x_1,\dots,x_n]$. Therefore (3.11) proves (3.8).

We next prove (3.9). It follows from (2.2) and induction on n that

$$z_{l_1} * z_{l_2}\cdots z_{l_n} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{l_2}\cdots z_{l_j}z_{l_1}z_{l_{j+1}}\cdots z_{l_n} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} z_{l_2}\cdots z_{l_{j-1}}z_{l_1+l_j}z_{l_{j+1}}\cdots z_{l_n}.$$

Applying the map Z^* to both sides of this equation and substituting $T=0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^*(l_1)\zeta^*(l_2,\dots,l_n) = &\sum_{j=1}^{n}\zeta^*(l_2,\dots,l_j,l_1,l_{j+1},\dots,l_n)\\ &+\sum_{j=2}^{n}\zeta^*(l_2,\dots,l_{j-1},l_1+l_j,l_{j+1},\dots,l_n).\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

We easily see that the left-hand side is congruent to 0 modulo $\mathcal{P}_l$. We also see that the first sum in the right-hand side of (3.12) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\zeta^*(l_2,\dots,l_j,\overset{j\,th}{l_1},l_{j+1},\dots,l_n) = (\zeta^*|sh_1)(l_1,\dots,l_n)$$

by using (2.28), and that the second sum is congruent to 0 modulo $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)}+\mathcal{P}_l$ by (2.9). We thus derive from (2.8) and (3.12) that

$$(\zeta^{\ddagger}|sh_1)(l_1,\dots,l_n) \equiv 0 \qquad \mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)}+\mathcal{P}_l,$$

which proves (3.9) by the definitions of the generating functions f_l^* and $f_l^{\text{ш}}$. □

We are now able to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. A direct calculation shows that

$$e+(-1)^n\varepsilon T = P(e+(-1)^nT)P^{-1} - (-1)^n(e-\varepsilon TPTP^{-1})PTP^{-1},$$

which together with (3.6) and (3.7) gives

$$f_l^{\ddagger} \equiv (-1)^{n-1}f_l^{\ddagger}|\varepsilon T \qquad \mod (\mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)}+\mathcal{P}_l)[x_1,\dots,x_n].$$

Because $(f_l^{\ddagger}|\varepsilon T)(x_1,\dots,x_n) = (f_l^{\ddagger}|T)(-x_1,\dots,-x_n) = (-1)^{l-n}(f_l^{\ddagger}|T)(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ by the homogeneity of $f_l^{\ddagger}$, we obtain

$$f_l^{\ddagger} \equiv (-1)^{l-1}f_l^{\ddagger}|T \qquad \mod (\mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)}+\mathcal{P}_l)[x_1,\dots,x_n].$$

Comparing the coefficient of $x_1^{l_1-1}\dots x_n^{l_n-1}$ on both sides of this equation, we deduce that $\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{l}) \equiv (-1)^{l-1}\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{l}\cdot T) \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)}+\mathcal{P}_l$, where $\mathbf{l}=(l_1,\dots,l_n)$. Since $\mathbf{l}\cdot T = \mathbf{l}^{\vee}$ by the definition of $\vee$, this congruence identity can be rewritten as

$$\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{l}) \equiv (-1)^{l-1}\zeta^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{l}^{\vee}) \qquad \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)}+\mathcal{P}_l, \tag{3.13}$$

which completes the proof of the first claim of Theorem 1.1.

The claim left immediately follows from the fact that equating (1.3) and (3.13) proves (1.1) because $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)} \subset \mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)}$ (see also Remark 3.2 below). □

REMARK 3.2. We will briefly prove (1.2) by using (2.29) instead of (2.32) in the same manner as the proof of (3.13). Since (2.29) is equivalent to (2.21) or [7, (8.5)], the proof of (1.2) yields a restatement of the proof of the parity result (1.1) given by Ihara *et al.* [7] in the view point of our strategy.

Multiplying both sides of (2.29) by εPT from the left and using $Tsh_1T = sh_{n-1}$, we can obtain

$$\varepsilon PT - TP = -Psh_{n-1}P^{-1}TP + \varepsilon TPsh_{n-1}P^{-1}TPT. \tag{3.14}$$

We see from $T = (-1)^n \{(e + (-1)^n T) - e\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon PT - TP &= (-1)^n \varepsilon \{P(e + (-1)^n T) - (e + (-1)^n \varepsilon T)P\}, \\ \varepsilon TPsh_{n-1}P^{-1}TPT &= -(-1)^n \varepsilon Psh_{n-1}P^{-1}TPT \\ &\quad +(-1)^n \varepsilon (e + (-1)^n T)Psh_{n-1}P^{-1}TPT, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.14) give

$$\begin{aligned} (e + (-1)^n \varepsilon T)P = (-1)^n \varepsilon Psh_{n-1}P^{-1}TP(e + (-1)^n \varepsilon T) \\ -(e + (-1)^n T)Psh_{n-1}P^{-1}TPT + P(e + (-1)^n T). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

We know from (1.3) (or [7, (8.6)]) that

$$f_l^{\ddagger} | (e + (-1)^n T) \equiv 0 \mod (\mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)} + \mathcal{P}_l)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]. \tag{3.16}$$

Thus we can derive from (3.15) together with (3.6), (3.8), and (3.16) that

$$f_l^{\ddagger} | (e + (-1)^n \varepsilon T)P \equiv 0 \mod (\mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)} + \mathcal{P}_l)[x_1, \ldots, x_n],$$

or equivalently,

$$f_l^{\ddagger} \equiv (-1)^{n-1} f_l^{\ddagger} | \varepsilon T \mod (\mathcal{Z}_l^{(<n)} + \mathcal{P}_l)[x_1, \ldots, x_n],$$

from which we obtain (1.2) in the same way as the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We now prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For any congruence equation $\equiv$ in this proof, we suppose the vector space giving the equivalent relation to be $(\mathcal{Z}_l^{(n-1)} + \mathcal{P}_l)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

We see from ${}^tP^{\pm} = TP^{\pm}T$ and (2.27) that

$$\varepsilon TPTP^{-1} = {}^t(\varepsilon TP^{-1}TP) = {}^tC_{n+1}^{(n)}, \tag{3.17}$$

which together with (2.26) gives

$$(\varepsilon TPTP^{-1})^{-1} = \left({}^tC_{n+1}^{(n)}\right)^{-1} = {}^t\left(\left(C_{n+1}^{(n)}\right)^{-1}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.18}$$

We obtain from (3.7) and (3.18) that

$$f_l^\ddagger(x_1,\dots,x_n) \equiv f_l^\ddagger((x_1,\dots,x_n)(\varepsilon TPTP^{-1})^{-1}) \equiv f_l^\ddagger(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_n-x_1,-x_1).$$

Replacing x_i by $x_i - x_{n+1}$ for every integer i with $1 \le i \le n$, we can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned} f_l^\ddagger(x_1-x_{n+1},\dots,x_n-x_{n+1}) &\equiv f_l^\ddagger(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_{n+1}-x_1) \\ &\equiv f_l^\ddagger(x_{c(1)}-x_{c(n+1)},\dots,x_{c(n)}-x_{c(n+1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where c means the cyclic permutation $(12\dots(n+1))$ in S_{n+1}. We thus have

$$f_l^\ddagger(x_1-x_{n+1},\dots,x_n-x_{n+1}) \equiv f_l^\ddagger(x_{c^i(1)}-x_{c^i(n+1)},\dots,x_{c^i(n)}-x_{c^i(n+1)}) \tag{3.19}$$

for each integer i with $1 \le i \le n$. Identity (3.19) with $x_i = x_{n+1} = 0$ yields

$$f_l^\ddagger(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1},\overset{i\,th}{0},x_{i+1},\dots,x_n) \equiv f_l^\ddagger(x_{i+1},\dots,x_n,\overset{j\,th}{0},x_1,\dots,x_{i-1}),$$

where $j = n+1-i$. By comparing the coefficient of $x_1^{l_1-1}\cdots x_n^{l_n-1}$ on both sides of this equation, we obtain (1.4).

We see from Theorem 1.1 that

$$\zeta^\ddagger(\mathbf{l}_i,1,\mathbf{k}_i) \equiv (-1)^{l-1}\zeta^\ddagger((\mathbf{l}_i,1,\mathbf{k}_i)^\vee) \equiv (-1)^{l-1}\zeta^\ddagger(\mathbf{k}_i^\vee,1,\mathbf{l}_i^\vee),$$

which together with (1.4) proves (1.5). □

Let $\{1\}^m$ be m repetitions of 1, where $\{1\}^0$ means the empty set. We prepare a lemma to prove Corollary 1.3.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let l,p,q be integers with $l \ge p+q$ and $p,q \ge 1$. If weight l is even,*

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1\ge 2, l_2,\dots,l_p\ge 1 \\ (l_1+\cdots+l_p=l-q+1)}} \zeta(l_1,\dots,l_p,\{1\}^{q-1}) \equiv 0 \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(p+q-2)} + \mathcal{P}_l. \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. We define a sum $s_{l,p,q}^\ddagger$ of RMZVs of weight l by

$$s_{l,p,q}^\ddagger := \sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_p\ge 1 \\ (l_1+\cdots+l_p=l-q+1)}} \zeta^\ddagger(l_1,\dots,l_p,\{1\}^{q-1}). \tag{3.21}$$

If $q>1$, we obtain by (1.5) with $i=p+1$

$$\begin{aligned} s_{l,p,q}^\ddagger &\equiv (-1)^{l-1} \sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_p\ge 1 \\ (l_1+\cdots+l_p=l-q+1)}} \zeta^\ddagger((l_1,\dots,l_p)^\vee,1,\underbrace{(1,\dots,1)}_{q-2}^\vee) \\ &\equiv (-1)^{l-1} \sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_p\ge 1 \\ (l_1+\cdots+l_p=l-q+1)}} \zeta^\ddagger(l_p,\dots,l_1,\{1\}^{q-1}) \qquad (3.22) \\ &\equiv (-1)^{l-1} s_{l,p,q}^\ddagger \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(p+q-2)} + \mathcal{P}_l. \end{aligned}$$

We can also calculate as (3.22) when $q=1$ by using Theorem 1.1. Therefore it holds that

$$s_{l,p,q}^\ddagger \equiv 0 \mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(p+q-2)} + \mathcal{P}_l \qquad (l \text{ is even}). \tag{3.23}$$

Let $s_{l,p,q}$ be the left-hand side of (3.20). We see from (1.4) with $i=1$ that

$$\sum_{\substack{l_2,\dots,l_p\geq 1\\(l_2+\cdots+l_p=l-q)}}\zeta^{\ddagger}(1,l_2,\dots,l_p,\{1\}^{q-1})\equiv\sum_{\substack{l_2,\dots,l_p\geq 1\\(l_2+\cdots+l_p=l-q)}}\zeta^{\ddagger}(l_2,\dots,l_p,\{1\}^{q})$$
$$\equiv s^{\ddagger}_{l,p-1,q+1}\mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(p+q-2)}+\mathcal{P}_l,$$

which gives

$$s_{l,p,q}\equiv\sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_p\geq 1\\(l_1+\cdots+l_p=l-q+1)}}\zeta^{\ddagger}(l_1,\dots,l_p,\{1\}^{q-1})-\sum_{\substack{l_2,\dots,l_p\geq 1\\(l_2+\cdots+l_p=l-q)}}\zeta^{\ddagger}(1,l_2,\dots,l_p,\{1\}^{q-1})$$
$$\equiv s^{\ddagger}_{l,p,q}-s^{\ddagger}_{l,p-1,q+1}\mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(p+q-2)}+\mathcal{P}_l. \tag{3.24}$$

Combining (3.23) and (3.24) proves (3.20). □

We give a proof of Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. We define a sum $r_{l,a,b}$ of MZVs of weight l by

$$r_{l,a,b}:=\sum_{\substack{l_1\geq a,l_2,\dots,l_b\geq 1\\(l_1+\cdots+l_b=l)}}\zeta(l_1,\dots,l_b) \tag{3.25}$$

for integers a,b with $a\geq 2$, $b\geq 1$, and $l\geq a+b-1$. Let $s_{l,p,q}$ be the left-hand side of (3.20) as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. The restricted sum formula [4] can be rewritten as

$$r_{l,m+1,n}=s_{l,l-m-n+1,n}, \tag{3.26}$$

where $m,n\geq 1$ and $l\geq m+n$. We recall the duality theorem (see [12])

$$\zeta(\mathbf{k})=\zeta(\mathbf{k}') \tag{3.27}$$

for any dual pair $(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')$ of index sets defined by $\mathbf{k}=(a_1+1,\{1\}^{b_1-1},\dots,a_h+1,\{1\}^{b_h-1})$ and $\mathbf{k}'=(b_h+1,\{1\}^{a_h-1},\dots,b_1+1,\{1\}^{a_1-1})$. Ohno's theorem [8] for the dual identity $\zeta(m+1,\{1\}^{n-1})=\zeta(n+1,\{1\}^{m-1})$ states that

$$r_{l,m+1,n}=r_{l,n+1,m}. \tag{3.28}$$

Equating (3.26) and (3.28) yields

$$r_{l,m+1,n}=s_{l,l-m-n+1,m}=s_{l,l-m-n+1,n}. \tag{3.29}$$

Therefore it follows from (3.20) and (3.29) that

$$r_{l,m+1,n}\equiv 0\mod \mathcal{Z}_l^{(l-d-1)}+\mathcal{P}_l, \tag{3.30}$$

where $d\in\{m,n\}$. We know from the duality theorem (3.27) that $\mathcal{Z}_l^{(l-d-1)}=\mathcal{Z}_l^{(d+1)}$, and so we obtain (1.6). □

We list examples of Theorem 1.2 for MZVs with weight l smaller than 7 in Table 1. As an application of the examples, we will give a property of MZVs of weight 6 such that

$$\zeta(l_1,\dots,l_n)\equiv 0\mod \mathcal{Z}_6^{(n-1)}+\mathcal{P}_6, \tag{3.31}$$

mod	Identity
$\mathcal{Z}_3^{(1)}+\mathcal{P}_3$	$\zeta(2,1)\equiv\zeta^\ddagger(1,2)$
$\mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}+\mathcal{P}_4$	$\zeta(3,1)\equiv-\zeta(3,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,3)$
$\mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}+\mathcal{P}_4$	$\zeta(2,1,1)\equiv-\zeta^\ddagger(2,1,1),\pm\zeta^\ddagger(1,2,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,2)$
$\mathcal{Z}_5^{(1)}+\mathcal{P}_5$	$\zeta(4,1)\equiv\zeta^\ddagger(1,4)$
$\mathcal{Z}_5^{(2)}+\mathcal{P}_5$	$\zeta(3,1,1)\equiv\zeta^\ddagger(1,3,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,3)$ $\zeta(2,2,1)\equiv\zeta^\ddagger(1,2,2)$
$\mathcal{Z}_5^{(3)}+\mathcal{P}_5$	$\zeta(2,1,1,1)\equiv\zeta^\ddagger(1,2,1,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,2,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,1,2)$
$\mathcal{Z}_6^{(1)}+\mathcal{P}_6$	$\zeta(5,1)\equiv-\zeta(5,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,5)$
$\mathcal{Z}_6^{(2)}+\mathcal{P}_6$	$\zeta(4,1,1)\equiv-\zeta(4,1,1),\pm\zeta^\ddagger(1,4,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,4)$ $\zeta(3,2,1)\equiv-\zeta(2,3,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,3,2)$ $\zeta(3,1,2)\equiv-\zeta(3,1,2),\zeta(2,1,3)$ $\zeta(2,3,1)\equiv-\zeta(3,2,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,2,3)$ $\zeta(2,1,3)\equiv\zeta(3,1,2),-\zeta(2,1,3)$
$\mathcal{Z}_6^{(3)}+\mathcal{P}_6$	$\zeta(3,1,1,1)\equiv-\zeta(3,1,1,1),\pm\zeta^\ddagger(1,3,1,1),\pm\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,3,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,1,3)$ $\zeta(2,2,1,1)\equiv-\zeta(2,2,1,1),\pm\zeta^\ddagger(1,2,2,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,2,2),$ $\zeta(2,1,2,1)\equiv-\zeta(2,1,2,1),\pm\zeta(2,1,1,2),\zeta^\ddagger(1,2,1,2)$ $\zeta(2,1,1,2)\equiv\pm\zeta(2,1,2,1),\pm\zeta^\ddagger(1,2,1,2)$
$\mathcal{Z}_6^{(4)}+\mathcal{P}_6$	$\zeta(2,1,1,1,1)\equiv-\zeta(2,1,1,1,1),\pm\zeta^\ddagger(1,2,1,1,1),\pm\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,2,1,1),\pm\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,1,2,1),\zeta^\ddagger(1,1,1,1,2)$

Table 1: Examples of Theorem 1.2

where $n\in\{2,3,4,5\}$, $l_1+\cdots+l_n=6$ and $l_1\geq 2$. For this, we may prove

$$\begin{aligned} 0&\equiv\zeta(3,3) && \mod \mathcal{Z}_6^{(1)}+\mathcal{P}_6,\\ 0&\equiv\zeta(3,2,1)\equiv\zeta(2,3,1)\equiv\zeta(2,2,2) && \mod \mathcal{Z}_6^{(2)}+\mathcal{P}_6, \end{aligned}$$

because the other cases are obvious from $\zeta(l_1,\ldots,l_n)\equiv-\zeta(l_1,\ldots,l_n)$ (see Table 1). By the harmonic relations obtained by (2.2), we have $2\zeta(3,3)=\zeta(3)\zeta(3)-\zeta(6)$ and $3\zeta(2,2,2)=\zeta(2)\zeta(2,2)-\zeta(4,2)-\zeta(2,4)$, which verify $\zeta(3,3)\equiv 0 \mod \mathcal{Z}_6^{(1)}+\mathcal{P}_6$ and $\zeta(2,2,2)\equiv 0 \mod \mathcal{Z}_6^{(2)}+\mathcal{P}_6$, respectively. By the duality theorem (3.27), we have $\zeta(2,3,1)=\zeta(3,1,2)$, where the space giving the congruence relation $\equiv$ is $\mathcal{Z}_6^{(2)}+\mathcal{P}_6$. Since $\zeta(2,3,1)\equiv-\zeta(3,2,1)$ and $\zeta(3,1,2)\equiv 0$, we obtain $\zeta(3,2,1)\equiv\zeta(2,3,1)\equiv 0$, which completes the proof of (3.31).

References

[1] D. Borwein, J. M. Borwein, R. Girgensohn, *Explicit evaluation of Euler sums*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **38**, 1995, 277–294.

[2] J. M. Borwein, R. Girgensohn, *Evaluation of triple Euler sums*, Electron. J. Combin. **3**, 1996, Research Paper 23, approx. 27 pp.

[3] F. Brown, *Depth-graded motivic multiple zeta values*, preprint; arXiv:1301.3053 [math.NT], 2013.

[4] M. Eie, W-C. Liaw and Y. L. Ong, *A restricted sum formula among multiple zeta values*, J. Number Theory **129**, 2009, 908–921.

[5] L. Euler, *Meditationes circa singulare serierum genus*, Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Petropol. **20**, 1775, 140–186 ; reprinted in Opera Omnia Ser. I, vol. 15, Teubner, Berlin 1927, pp. 217–267.

[6] M. E. Hoffman, *The algebra of multiple harmonic series*, J. Algebra **194**, 1997, 477–495.

[7] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Compositio Math. **142**, 2006, 307–338.

[8] Y. Ohno, *A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values*, J. Number Theory **74**, 1999, 39–43.

[9] C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie algebras*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 7. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.

[10] L. Tornheim, *Harmonic double series*, Amer. J. Math. **72**, 1950, 303–314.

[11] H. Tsumura, *Combinatorial relations for Euler-Zagier sums*, Acta Arith. **111**, 2004, 27–42.

[12] D. Zagier, *Values of zeta functions and their applications*, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), 497–512, Progr. Math., 120, Birkhäuser, Basel. 1994.